

Whitepaper

The Economics of Workplace Safety

Bearbeitet von am 11. Aug. 2022

Conveyor safety is not a modern trend bred by government regulation; it's a common-sense idea as old as the first conveyor design. In the modern age, safety is a key factor in worker protection, reduced insurance rates and a lower total cost of operation. There are several hurdles to the installation of safety equipment, the biggest of which is the near-universal use of the Low Bid process.



Inefficient conveyor operation leads to unplanned downtime, fugitive materials and increased maintenance. (Pictures: ©Martin Engineering)

When companies buy on price (Low Bid) the benefits are short-lived and costs typically increase over time. In contrast, when purchases are made based on lowest long term cost (Life Cycle Cost), benefits usually continue to accrue and costs go down, resulting in a net savings over time. Safer and more reliable equipment is easier to service, has a longer life and is less expensive to maintain.

Organizations that embrace safety show significant performance advantages over the competition. The proof is reflected in reduced injuries and greater productivity, along with above industry average financial returns and higher share prices.

Justifying safety investments is greatly enhanced by quantifying what most financial managers refer to as "intangible costs", i.e., injuries, lost labor, insurance, morale, legal settlements, etc. However, managers and accountants have been trained to think about saving direct costs to justify investments.



Life cycle costs.

When conveyors don't operate efficiently they have unplanned stoppages, release large quantities of fugitive materials and require more maintenance. Emergency breakdowns, cleaning of excessive spillage and reactive maintenance all contribute to an unsafe workplace.

Safety Pays

Numerous case studies revealing the positive relationships between safety and productivity are backed up by organizations that gather global statistics on accidents and incidents. The simple formula for return on investment (dividing savings by cost) does not capture the potential savings from safety investments. Several organizations provide detailed and regional statistics on the cost of accidents.

	Fatal Accident Cost	Lost Time Accident Cost	First Aid Accident Cost
Established Market Economies	\$2,750,000	\$150,000	\$2,750
Former Socialist Countries	\$500,000	\$28,000	\$500
India	\$60,000	\$3,000	\$60
China	\$100,000	\$6,000	\$100

Regional statistics on costs of accidents.

Lacking specific historical data, managers can turn to numerous reliable sources that provide the probability of incidents that can be used to estimate tangible and intangible future costs.

Per 100,000 Workers p/yr	Fatal Incidents	Lost Time Incidents	First Aid Incidents∗	Fatal Diseases
Established Market Economies	3.8	2,900	8,700	67.0
Former Socialist Countries	9.5	7,250	21,750	80.9
India	9.0	6,900	20,700	59.0
China	12.2	9,300	27,900	52.2
Other Asian & Islands	18.5	14,100	42,300	43.0
Sub Saharan Africa	19.1	14,500	43,500	75.5
Latin America & Caribbean	18.0	13,700	41,400	49.4
Middle East Crescent	13.3	10,150	30,450	89.3
World Average Rate	12.7	9,725	29,175	63.0
From Introductory Report: Decent Work – Sa	fe Work, Dr. J. Takala, Internationa	I Labour Office, Geneva XVIIth	World Congress on Safety and First Aid Incidents are estimate	Health at Work, Orlando, 20 d to be 3x Lost Time Accide
Probability of I	ndustrial	Incident	s 📕	narfin

Accident rates per 100,000 industrial workers per year.

The financial technique used to compare options is called a "net present value" (NPV) analysis. NPV compares different investment options with varying costs and savings (cash flows) over time, discounting them by the company's cost of money. For example, an internal risk analysis shows a facility has 30 workers exposed to conveyor hazards. The estimated probability of the different classes of accidents (fatal, lost time and first aid) is multiplied by the cost of these accidents to reveal what could be invested to reduce the incident rate by half.



Estimated total annual cost for all accidents.

Assuming the life of the conveyor is 20 years and the cost of money (discount rate) is 5 percent, the available additional investment would be about \$750,000 more in design time to accomplish the 50% improvement in safety. By choosing the lowest-priced bid to meet the minimum safety requirements, the short-term expenditure ends up costing considerably more over the 20-year lifecycle.

NPV of Projected Accident Costs		Discount Rate	Accident Additional Costs p/ys Investment (1-20)	
System Purchased on Low Bid	\$2,816,260	5%	\$0	\$140,813
System Purchased on Alternate Bid	\$877,427	5%	\$750,000	\$70,407

Annual accident costs for years 1 to 20.

By spending \$750,000 more to exceed the minimum safety and design requirements and reduce the accident rates by 50%, the annual projected cost of accidents drops from \$140,813 to \$70,407.

Measured in today's dollars — including the additional investment of \$750,000 – the projected savings over the 20-year term at 5% are about \$1.2 million by investing more upfront. If, after further analysis, the savings are found to be less – perhaps only a 25% reduction in the cost of accidents – the upfront investment is still justified over the long term. Even though it takes a little more effort to collect data and do a financial analysis, in the end, NPV consistently proves that safety does indeed pay.